# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,562	10/03/2005	David F. Sim	920446-99380	3540
23644 BARNES & T	7590 02/21/200 HORNBURG LLP	8	EXAMINER .	
P.O. BOX 2786			YACOB, SISAY	
CHICAGO, IL	60690-2786		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	2612	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/21/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent-ch@btlaw.com

•	•	Ί./	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/531,562	SIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sisay Yacob	2612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 E	<u>)ecember 2007</u> .		
· <u> </u>	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	• •	
closed in accordance with the practice under l	<u>:x рапе Quayle,</u> 1935 С.1	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers	·	÷	
<u> </u>			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Everniner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			ı <b>.</b>
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in a crity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1 This communication is in response to applicant's amendment to a first non-final office action, which was filed December 14, 2007.

Note:

Applicant has amended claim 15 to comply with examiner objection.

Therefore, objection to claim 15 has been withdrawn.

Amendments and arguments to pending rejected claims 1-15 have been entered and made of record in the application of Sim et al. for "Mud pulse landing assembly for use in directional drilling" filed on October 03, 2005.

Claims 1, 12 and 15 are amended.

C

Claims 2-11 and 12-14 are same as originally filed.

Claims 1-15 are pending.

**RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS** 

- Applicant's amendments and arguments the pending rejected claims 1-15 have been fully considered, but are not persuasive in view of the rejection cited below in their respective rejection section. The prior arts presented in the earlier office action have been used herein with further explanation, in account of the argument presented by the applicant, to further address applicant concern and to clearly show how the limitation of the claims are met by the same.
- On Page 5 Par. 3-4, Page 6, Par. 1-4 and all subsequent applicant argument with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-8 and 15, the cited reference failing to disclose the claimed limitations of the pending rejected claims: the mud pulse generator does not actively engage the landing sub body, but is rather maintained in position by the mechanical action of separate retainer. And applicant arguments respect to the present invention clearly requiring the retainer to engage the mud pulse generator at or upstream of the outlet end.
- In Winnacker's disclosure the retention to the landing sub body is achieved by the resilient detents (Item 36) not by the pulse generator (Item 4) as it argued by the applicant (See paragraph 22 of applicant's submitted translation). It would be a designer's (engineering) choice to have the retainer to engage the mud pulse generator at or downstream of the outlet end or at or upstream of the outlet end.

- On Page 5 Par. 3-4, Page 6, Par. 1-4 and all subsequent applicant argument with respect to by maintaining the retaining function in the retainer, the removable mud pulse generator is simplified in construction, thereby reducing cost, as well as the likelihood of binding upon insertion and removal from the drill string. In the cited reference, it is foreseeable that the detents could bind either the drill string itself, or debris contained therein upon removal, thus obviating the advantages of having this device removable, and the retainer, the mud pulse generator and its outlet end are arranged so as to protect the retainer from turbulent an abrasive mud flow.
- The limitations on which the applicant relies are not stated in the claims. It is the claims that define the claimed invention, and it is claims, not specifications that are anticipated or unpatentable.
- 8 On Page 6, Par. 5-7 and all subsequent applicant's argue with prior art of Jeter not addressing the deficiencies of the primary cited reference of Winnacher.
- Jeter discloses a mud pulse generator comprising a compact muleshoe, an MWD tool in a spaced-apart relationship from said compact muleshoe, and at least one leg maintaining said muleshoe body in a fixed position relative to said muleshoe body, said compact muleshoe containing a mud pulse orifice, said MWD tool containing a piston

Application/Control Number:

10/531,562 Art Unit: 2612

actuator and piston, and the muleshoe body and said at least one leg is a unitary structure (Col. 4, lines 12-59; Col. 6, lines 9-30; Figures 1-9).

## **CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 102**

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11 Claims 1, 2, 4-8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by German Patent of Winnacker (DE 19627719 A).
- As to claim 1, Winnacker discloses a mud pulse assembly for producing mud pulses for communicating during directional drilling data telemetry comprising: a removable mud pulse generator for positioning in a landing sub body, said removable mud pulse generator having a outlet end, and a retainer for releasably engaging said removable mud pulse generator in said landing sub body, said retainer engaging said mud pulse generator at or upstream of said outlet end, said retainer being remotely operable to release said removable mud pulse generator from said mud pulse assembly (Col.1, lines 3-31; Col. 4, lines 50-61; Col. 7, Claim 1; Figures 1-3).

- As to claim 2, Winnacker discloses a retainer comprises at least one detent for retention of said removable mud pulse generator, and a coupler for preventing rotation of said removable mud pulse generator relative to said landing sub body, said removable mud pulse generator being adapted to receive said detent and engage said coupler (Col. 4, lines 50-61; figures 1-3).
- As to claim 4, Winnacker discloses a coupler comprises at least one spline on at least a portion of adjacent surfaces of said removable mud pulse generator and said landing sub body (Col. 5, line 64 Col. 6, line 4; Item 29 of figure 1).
- As to claim 5, Winnacker discloses a coupler comprises non-circular keyed mating surfaces (Col. 6, lines 7-13; Item 36 of figure 1).
- As to claims 6-8, Winnacker discloses a retainer maintains said removable mud pulse generator in both a fixed spatial and fixed rotational position relative to said landing sub body, said retainer comprises at least one detent, said at least one detent being adapted with a means for locking said removable mud pulse generator in fixed rotational position relative to said landing sub body, and said means for locking comprises at least one longitudinal spline, said spline being received by a corresponding spline on said removable mud pulse generator (Col. 4, lines 50-61; Col. 6, lines 13-37; Figures 1-3).

As to claim 15, the mud pulse landing assembly of claim 1, wherein said removable mud pulse generator can be released and moved in either the upward or downward direction relative to the retainer (Col.1, lines 3-31).

## **CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 103**

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent of Winnacker (DE 196 27 719 A) in view of the U.S. Patent of Jeter (4,120,097).

Art Unit: 2612

As to claims 12 and 13, Winnacker a removable mud pulse generator is of modular design, said removable mud pulse generator comprising a compact muleshoe, an MWD tool in a spaced-apart relationship from said compact muleshoe, and at least one leg maintaining said muleshoe body in a fixed position relative to said mud tool, said compact muleshoe containing a mud pulse orifice, said MWD tool containing a piston actuator and piston, and the muleshoe body and said at least one leg is a unitary structure.

Jeter discloses a mud pulse generator comprising a compact muleshoe, an MWD tool in a spaced-apart relationship from said compact muleshoe, and at least one leg maintaining said muleshoe body in a fixed position relative to said mud tool, said compact muleshoe containing a mud pulse orifice, said MWD tool containing a piston actuator and piston, and the muleshoe body and said at least one leg is a unitary structure (Col. 4, lines 12-59; Col. 6, lines 9-30; Figures 1-9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a mud pulse generator of Jeter, because they both are directed to solving similar problem in a dwonhole environment, which deals with an MWD tool incorporating an apparatus, system and method of mud pulse telemetry.

### **CLAIM OBJECTIONS**

14 Claims 3, 9-11 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### CONCLUSION

15 **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sisay Yacob whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number:

10/531,562

Art Unit: 2612

Page 10

8562. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 AM -

4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jeffery A. Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sisay Yacob

5-4-

2/12/2008

Dourtto W. Lle &

DAVETTAW. GOINS